. p	ATENT COOPE	RATION TRE	ATY SILL REÇU					
From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EX	CAMINING AUTHORITY	10 T 12 TO	_ 4 FEV. 2004					
To: HH OGILVY RENAULT 1981 McGill College Avenue Suite 1600 Montréal, Québec H3A 2Y3 CANADA	E FEB 0	2004 E	PCT OGLY RENAULT OUEBEC					
/ DUE ON APR 2 8 2004 PV								
		(daylmonthlyear)	28.01.2004					
Applicants or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE	within 3 month(s) from the above date of mailing					
International application No. PCT/CA 03/00617	International filling date (d 24.04.2003	zy/nanthyear)	Priority date (day/month/year) 24.04.2002					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or C12N5/06	both national classification o	and IPC	;)					
Applicant BIOGENTIS INC. et al.								
			mining Authority.					
I Basis of the opinion	s islaming to the lonowing i	CIII.						
II Priority								
III. Non-establishment of	f opinion with regard to no	velty, inventive step	and industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of inven V Reasoned statement	under Rule 68,2(a)(ii) wit	h regard to novelty, is	nventive step or industrial applicability;					
VI Certain documents of	-	mment						
VII Certain defects in the	international application		- *					
		cation						
3. The applicant is hereby invited t	to reply to this opinion.							
When? See the time limit indicate request this Authority to	ded above. The applicant ma grant an extension, see Rule	y, before the expiration 66.2(d).	of that time limit,					
How? By submitting a written r For the form and the lan	reply, accompanied, where a guage of the amendments, s	ppropriate, by amendme se Rules 66.8 and 66.9	ents, according to Rule 68.3.					
Also: For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 68.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 68.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 68.6.								
If no reply is filed, the international p	orellminary examination repo	ri will be established on	the basis of this opinion.					
The final date by which the intern	renue 2Y3 WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66) DUE ON APR 2 8 2004 Pence REPLY DUE International filing date (day/non/h/pear) 24.04.2003 Priority date (day/non/h/pear) 24.04.2003 Priority date (day/non/h/pear) 24.04.2002 Priority date (day/non/h/pear) 24.04.2002 Priority date (day/non/h/pear) 24.04.2002 Indications relating to the following items: The opinion Dishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive stap and industrial applicability into diversions are proported to novelty, inventive stap or industrial applicability; indications on the international application are diversible on the international application priority in the international application priority in the international application priority to this opinion. Indication on the international application priority to this opinion. Indication on the international application priority to this opinion. Indication of the international application priority to grant an extension, see Rules 66.2 (d). Indication of the international application priority to grant an extension, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Indication of the international application priority to grant an extension, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Indication with the outsider arrandments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Indication with the outsider arrandments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Indication with the outsider arrandments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Indication with the outsider arrandments and are arguments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Indication with the outsider arrandments and arguments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Indication with the outsider arrandments and arguments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Indication with the outsider arrandments and arguments are purely as a written are purely accompanied, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.							

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswift - Pays Bas Tet. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx 31 651 epo nt Fax +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Teyssier, B

Formatives officer (Incl. extension of time limits) Wallentin, M Telephone No. +31 70 340-3991



-	****		1 01/0A 00/00017			
,	=	Pasis of the opinion	,			
٠.		•	•	. •		
1.		van regard to the ele ne receiving Office in led"):	ments of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally should be a supplied to the spinion as "originally should be a supplied to the spinion as "originally should be a supplied to the spinion as "originally should be a supplied to the spinion as "originally should be a supplied to the spinion as "originally should be a supplied to the spinion as "originally should be a supplied to the spinion as "originally should be a supplied to the spinion as "originally should be a supplied to the spinion as "originally should be a supplied to the spinion as "originally should be a supplied to the spinion as "originally should be a supplied to the spinion as "originally should be a supplied to the spinion as "originally should be a supplied to the spinion as "originally should be a supplied to the spinion as "original should be a supplied to the spinion as "original should be a supplied to the spinion as "original should be a supplied to the spinion as "original should be a supplied to the spinion as "original should be a supplied to the spinion as supplied	ed to		
	D	escription, Pages	• •			
		-11	as originally filed			
	c	laims, Numbers				
	7•	26	as originally filed			
	Di	rawings, Sheets)		
	1		as originally filed			
2.	lai	ith regard to the lang nguage in which the	guage, all the elements marked above were available or fumished to this Authority in the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	he		
	Th	ese elements were i	available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:			
	0	the language of a	translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).			
	0	the language of pu	iblication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
	Ö	the language of a Rule 55.2 and/or 5	translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 5.3).			
3.	Wi	th regard to any nuc emational preliminar	electide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the y examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:			
		contained in the In	ternational application in written form.)		
i		filed together with	the international application in computer readable form.			
ı		furnished subsequ	entily to this Authority in written form.			
- 1		furnished subsequ	ently to this Authority in computer readable form.			
1	D	The statement that in the international	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.	€		
1		The statement that listing has been fur	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence nished.	е		
. :	The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:			
נ		the description,	pages:			
0	3	the claims,	Nos.:			
C	3	the drawings,	sheets:			
. [3	This opinion has be been considered to	en established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).			
. A	\dd	ditional observations, if necessary:				
_						
H	om)	PCT/PEA/408 (January	[,] 2004)			

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/CA 03/00617

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims

1, 2, 5-7, 9-11, 13-16, 18-26

Inventive step (IS)

Claims

1-26

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION SEPARATE SHEET

International application No. PCT/CA03/00617

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1 L'Heureux et al., Faseb Journal, 1998, 12, 47-57 (January 1998)
- US 6,133,030 A (General Hospital Corporation; Massachussets Institute of Technology) 17 October 2000
- D3 WO 00/86036 A (Massachussets General Hospital) 9 November 2000

D1 describes a process for making artificial blood vessel constructs by wrapping sheets of vascular smooth muscle cells and fibroblasts aroung a tubular support; in this process, <u>full contact between the cell sheets occurs</u>. As far as the tissue construct of claim 1 is a blood vessel, as provided by the example of the application, it is not new over the vessel constructs of D1, the subject-matter of claims 18-26 therefore lacks novelty (Article 33(2) PCT).

D2 describes the co-cultivation of cells on micro-patterned supports. Part II of D2 specifically describes the contruction of artificial liver constructs with hepatocytes grown as continuous populations over designated parts of the support and fibroblasts grown on the remaining parts of the support, resulting in edge contcat between the two cell populations along the lines of the pattern. Part III compares this setting with co-cultures where cells are separated by removable polymerannuli. While no examples are given, the use of other cell types is considered, including endothelian cells and smooth muscle cells (col. 2, point c). In view of this prior art, the subject-matter of claims 1, 2, 5-7, 9-11, 13-15 and 18-26 is not new (Article 33(2) PCT) and the additional subject-matter of claims 3, 4, 8 and 12 does not involve an inventive step over D2 (Article 33(3) PCT).

D3 describes a process for making three-dimensional vascularised organs by growing a branching pattern of vascular cells on a two-dimensional mold, applying a sheet of cells (in the example, hepatocytes) to the resulting vascular structure and eventually rolling the vascularised cell sheet into a three-dimensional cylinder, obviously, edge contact between the two cell population occurs along the lines of the pattern. In view of this prior art, the subject-matter of claims 1, 2, 5-7, 9-11, 16 and 18-24 is not new (Article 33(2) PCT) and the additional subject-matter of claims 3, 4, 12 and 17 does not involve an inventive step over D3 (Article 33(3) PCT).

None of the prior art documents describes or suggests a process of rolling a single tissue construct

WRITTEN OPINION SEPARATE SHEET

International application No. PCT/CA03/00617

comprising a population of vascular smooth muscle cells in one domain and a population of fibroblasts in another domain to produce a blood vessel construct, it is thus suggested to draft a new independent claim incorporating these features.

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.

Form PCT/Separate Shcet/408 (Sheat 2) (EPO-April 1997)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY